

(For Democratic Services use)

Decision Number: 13 (19-20)

## Portfolio Holder Executive Decision Statement

### The Local Authority (Executive Arrangements)(Meetings and Access to Information)(England) Regulations 2012

**Subject:** Establishment of a Single Commissioning Group for Kent and Medway

#### Details of Decision taken

To approve a letter of support to Mr Glenn Douglas, West Kent Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) regarding the development of a single CCG and work to establish an integrated care system.

#### Reason for Decision

There are currently eight clinical commissioning groups (CCG) in Kent and Medway. The development of a single CCG and work to establish an integrated care system is in line with work across the county to deliver the NHS Long Term Plan. The NHS are clear that this is about improving how health and care organisations work together to offer the best support to people living in their area.

This follows our Members considering this new arrangement at the recent Health Liaison Board on 4 September 2019. Members received a presentation about the establishment of a single CCG, from Dr Bob Bowes, NHS Clinical Lead for West Kent Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and Sue Braysher, Director of System Transformation and Bob Cook Integrated Partnership Development Board. Members were supportive of the creation of a single CCG.

#### All Documents considered:

Appendix A – Corporate response letter to Glen Douglas, West Kent CCG signed by the Chief Executive of this Council.

#### Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the Member when making the Decision:

Not Applicable.

When completed a copy of this  es  
Section by e-mail and a signed copy by internal mail

3/10/19

(For Democratic Services use)

Decision Number: 13 (19-20)

<b>Financial implications</b>	
There are no financial implication associated with this decision.	
<b>Legal Implications and Risk Assessment Statement</b>	
There are no legal implications or risks associated with this decision.	
<b>Equality Impacts</b> (Consideration of impacts under the Public Sector Equality Duty)	
The decisions recommended through this paper have a remote or low relevance to the substance of the Equality Act. There is no perceived impact on end users.	
<b>Local Member (s), other Portfolio Holders and/or Directors/Heads of Service Consulted</b>	
This matter was considered by the Health Liaison Board	
<b>Details of any conflicts of interest</b>	
a) declared by any executive member who is consulted by the Decision Taker	
None declared	
b) and any details of dispensations granted by the Chief Executive in respect of any declared conflict	
Not applicable	
Decision taken by:	Portfolio Holder for CH Robert Piper
Signed by Portfolio Holder	[Redacted]
Date of Decision	1.10.19
Record made by:	[Redacted]
Date of record:	8/10/19

**When completed a copy of this record must be sent to the Democratic Services Section by e-mail and a signed copy by internal mail**